

Stanislav VRÁNA*, Bohumil ŠULC**

MULTICRITERION MODELLESS PID CONTROLLER AUTOTUNING KEEPING DESIRED
VALUES OF CONTROL QUALITY INDICATORS

MULTIKRITERIÁLNÍ SAMOSEŘIZOVÁNÍ PID REGULÁTORŮ ZACHOVÁVAJÍCÍ
POŽADOVANÉ HODNOTY INDIKÁTORŮ KVALITY REGULAČNÍHO POCHODU

Abstract

The development of the autotuning method presented here was motivated by the demands of industrial practice. Industry prefers to use PID controllers and to make experimental settings on-line. Usually used tuning methods have two disadvantages: interruption or restriction of control function while the controller is being set and the use of Ziegler and Nichols rules, which leads to stable but oscillating responses. The paper describes one way of experimentally obtaining the control quality indicators based on frequency response evaluation and using them in a new optimal controller parameter setting computation procedure without control function interruption.

Abstrakt

Vývoj prezentované samoseřizovací metody je motivovaný požadavky průmyslové praxe. Průmysl preferuje použití PID regulátorů a jejich experimentální seřízení. Obvykle používané metody pro nastavení parametrů PID regulátorů mají dvě nevýhody: přerušování nebo omezení funkce regulátoru v době, kdy je vyhodnocováno nové nastavení regulátoru, a použití seřizovacích pravidel Zieglera a Nicholse, které vedou na sice stabilní, ale kmitavé odezvy. V příspěvku je popsán způsob experimentálního zjištění indikátorů kvality regulačního pochodu založené na vyhodnocování frekvenčních odezev a jejich využití v postupu výpočtu nových optimálních parametrů regulátoru bez přerušování regulačního pochodu.

1 INTRODUCTION

There are some useful tools for PID controller tuning in linear theory, that can be used in connection with a nonlinear plant. One of these tools represents set of quality control indicators. The well-known indicators are M_s – Maximum Sensitivity, m_A – Gain Margin, γ – Phase Margin and ω_γ – Crossover frequency.

It is possible to use frequencies ω_π – frequency, when the phase shift is -180° , ω_s – frequency, when Maximum Sensitivity is detected and ω_{M_s} – Maximum Sensitivity crossover frequency as additional indicators when one of the above mentioned indicators is evaluated. However we can define subsequent indicators, like gain, when the phase shift is equal to -120 degrees, or phase shift, when the gain is equal to 0,7. Whatever relation between oscillation and its response which is possible to be mathematically expressed can be used as an indicator.

* Ing., DiS., Department of Instrumentation and Control Engineering, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Technická 4, Praha, tel. (+420) 2 2435 2651, e-mail stanislav.vrana@fs.cvut.cz

** doc. Ing., CSc., Department of Instrumentation and Control Engineering, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Technická 4, Praha, tel. (+420) 2 2435 2531, e-mail bohumil.sulc@fs.cvut.cz

2 CONTROLLER AUTOTUNING BASED ON CONTROL QUALITY INDICATOR EVALUATION




Fig. 1 Control quality indicator autotuning

The principle of control quality indicator evaluation is shown in the Figure 1. The figure depicts a closed control loop and the autotuning mechanism connected to the closed control loop. It can be seen that the controller setting can be changed on demand, or this can be done continuously. The Harmonic signal generator block generates a harmonic signal of variable frequency ω . The Signal analysis block analyses the current characteristics of the control error course, and the controlled value course and the relation between them (gain, phase). The Indicator evaluation block evaluates the actual gain and phase, checks if they are correct, and decides if the change of frequency ω has to be changed or the controller setting has to be changed. If the controller setting has to be changed, the block signals this to the Controller parameter computation block, which computes controller parameter values from the current indicator values.

There are two ways to compute new controller parameter values. The first way is that we strictly specify the values of selected indicators, e. g., the Phase Margin should be equal to 60 degrees (value from the recommended range). Then we start to add harmonic signal $k \cdot \sin(\omega t)$ to control error e . This causes oscillation in the control loop. The oscillation frequency ω makes the phase shift equal to -120 degrees. Then we measure the gain. If the gain is not equal to one, the controller parameters are changed. Then we have to change the frequency of the added harmonic signal ω to the phase shift stay equal to -120 degrees and measure the gain again. These actions are repeated until the measured gain is equal to one. If the measured gain is equal to one, the desired Phase Margin has been found. We can use a similar procedure to Gain Margin evaluation. The difference is that we set the frequency so that the gain is equal to its desired value and the phase shift is measured. However there is no similar procedure to Maximum Sensitivity evaluation, because there is no value, that can be fixed during the process. The disadvantage of this way of computing new controller parameter values is that we do not know the current value of the Phase Margin or the Gain Margin.




Fig. 2 Courses of control error and controlled value

The second way is that we find the current values of the indicators. Let us assume the Phase Margin evaluation again. The Phase margin should be equal to 60 degrees. Then we start to add harmonic signal $k \cdot \sin(\omega t)$ to control error e . The oscillation frequency ω makes the gain equal to one. Then we measure the current phase shift. If the phase shift is not equal to its desired value (in




Fig. 3 Parameter changing

this case -120 degrees), the controller parameters are changed. Then we have to change the frequency of added oscillation ω to the gain stay equal to one, and measure the phase shift again. These actions are repeated until the measured phase is equal to -120 degrees. If the measured phase shift is equal to -120 degrees, the desired Phase Margin is found. We can use a similar procedure to Gain Margin

evaluation and to Maximum Sensitivity evaluation too. The advantage of this way of computing new controller parameter values is knowledge of the current values of the evaluated indicators. The disadvantage is that this way takes more time than the first way, described above.

The course of control error with added harmonic signal $e+k.\sin(\omega.t)$ and the course of the controlled variable during indicator evaluation are depicted in the Figure 2. Changing the controller parameter along the frequency changing during controller tuning is depicted in the Figure 3. Only controller parameter r_I was allowed to be changed in the tuning mechanism. The other controller parameters stayed fixed at their original values ($r_0 = 0,7$, $r_D = 0,1$).

3 CONCLUSIONS

The method of autotuning presented here has a good chance to be implemented in industrial practice. It does not need any model of the controlled plant. While the controller is setting, the control function is not interrupted. The method needs more time for indicator evaluation than methods using step response evaluation. This is due to the need to wait when the amplitude of oscillation is steady after the frequency change.

This research has been supported by Czech Science Foundation Grant No 101/07/1667, and the results will be applied within project MSM6840770035.

REFERENCES

- [1] ÅSTRÖM, K. J. & HÄGGLUND, T. Automatic Tuning of Simple regulators with Specifications on Phase and Amplitude Margins. In *Automatica*. 1984, č. 20, pp. 645 – 651.
- [2] ÅSTRÖM, K. J. & HÄGGLUND, T. *Advanced PID control*. ISA, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA, 2006, ISBN 978-1-55617-942-6.
- [3] YU, C. C. *Autotuning of PID Controllers*. Springer – Verlag London Limited. London. 1999. ISBN 3-540-76250-7.
- [4] ZIEGLER, J. G. & Nichols, N. B. Optimum settings for automatic controllers. In *Trans. ASME* 64, 1942, pp. 759–768.
- [5] MACHÁČEK, J. Identifikace soustav pomocí nelinearity ve zpětné vazbě. In *Automatizace*. 1998, č. 9. ISSN 0005-125X.
- [6] ŠULC, B. & VÍTEČKOVÁ, M. *Teorie a praxe návrhu regulačních obvodů*. Vydavatelství ČVUT. Praha. 2004. 1. vyd. ISBN 80-01-03007-5.
- [7] VRÁNA, S. & ŠULC, B. Control Loop Oscillation Based PID Tuning. In *Proceedings of the 7th International Carpathian Control Conference ICCO 2006*. Ostrava: VŠB - Technická univerzita Ostrava, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Department of Control Systems and Instrumentation, 2006, pp. 617-620. ISBN 80-248-1066-2.
- [8] VRÁNA, S. & ŠULC, B. Globální metody seřizování PID regulátorů In: *Sborník přednášek konference ARaP 2006*. Praha: Dimart, s. r. o., 2006, pp. 19-24. ISBN 80-903844-0-4.
- [9] VRÁNA, S. & ŠULC, B. Control Quality Indicators in PID Controller Autotuning. In: *The 4th International Conference on Cybernetics and Information Technologies, Systems and Applications: CITSA 2007 Jointly with The 5th International Conference on Computing, Communications and Control Technologies: CCCT 2007 PROCEEDINGS Volume II*. IIIS, Orlando, Florida, USA, 2007, pp. 280 – 285. ISBN 978-1-934272-08-4.

Reviewer: prof. Ing. Antonín Víteček, CSc., D.h.c., VŠB - Technical University of Ostrava